

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/565,171

Applicant(s)

NAGAYAMA ET AL.

Examiner

EUGENIA WANG

Art Unit

1795

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) EUGENIA WANG.(3) MARTIN CORSENZA.(2) PATRICK RYAN.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 06 November 2008.Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 3-13.Identification of prior art discussed: EP 0858120 (Yoshida et al.).Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The discussion was with regards to the nature of the voids, specifically that the electrolyte filling the top would not necessarily indicate that the pertinent material near the current collector would have a greater density. Such arguments have been found persuasive and would overcome the rejection of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eugenia Wang/
Examiner, Art Unit 1795